



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/689,833	10/20/2003	Andrew T. Hecht	874.1001US	6197		
23280	7590	01/12/2009	EXAMINER			
Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 485 7th Avenue 14th Floor New York, NY 10018				BAIRD, EDWARD J		
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER				
3695						
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
01/12/2009		PAPER				

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/689,833	HECHT, ANDREW T.
	<b>Examiner</b> Ed Baird	<b>Art Unit</b> 3695

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ed Baird, Jessica Lemieux, Examiners.

(3) Andrew Hecht, Inventor.

(2) Sunil Raval, Felix D'Arienzo, Attorneys.

(4) Jay Kramer, SPE.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Florance USPub. No. 2002/0065739.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims and claim rejections were discussed in light of the prior art of record.  
Examiner suggested, Applicant show how a "daily" index is unique and non-obvious, and show how the index is generated.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ed Baird/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3695

/James A. Kramer/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693